## GENERAL INFORMATION: MAP AMENDMENT REQUEST (MAR) APPLICATION

	ANT:		tame, Addre	iss, City	State/Zip & PHO	ME NO.)			
	Give	ens Inve	stments, I	td., 1	365 Cooper D	rive, Lexis	ngton, KY 4	0502	
OWNER: Givens Invest		stmențs, L	ents, Ltd., 1365 Cooper Drive, Lexington, KY 40502						
ATTORI	CHIL.	stine N E. Main	. Westover Street, S	, Esq.	000, Lexingto	DE, KY 405	507		
2. ADDRE					attach Legal D				
	rtha Cour			( reso					
3 ZONING	INFLAC	BEAGE C	E ADDI ICA	NTO DD	OPERTY Manua		reeded com	a format \	
Existing			AFFLICA	PPLICANT'S PROPERTY (Use attachment, if no Requested				Acreage	
Zoning Use		Zo	Zoning Use			Net Gross			
R-2 Vacant				4	Multi Family Housing	.0144	.173		
R-4	Vacant				Multi Famil				
	+				<del> </del>				
L SUBBO	UNDING PE	OPERTY	ZONING & I	ISE					
Proper	rty	Or Litti,		Use				Zoning	
		uplexes					R-2	R-2	
		Single Family Residential/Apartments					R-1C/R-	R-1C/R-4	
		Multi Family					R-4	R-4	
West Public Eleme			ementary	ntary School/Duplex			R-2	R-2	
. EXISTIN	G CONDITI	ONS						BRIEF BROLE	
The same of the sa		min market	s on this prope	erty that w	ill be removed if th	is application is	s approved?	YES NO	
·	- Andrewski				property in the pas			YES INC	
Are these if yes, how if yes, plea	units currently many units?	occupied t	y households ament outlining	earning u	inder 40 % of the r	nedian income		☐ YES ☑ NO	
URBANS	SERVICES	STATUS (	Indicate who	ather ex	isting or how to	he provided			
		Existing	tile whether existing, or how to be provided.)						
Storm Sewers		Existing		constructed by					
Sanitary Sewers Curb/Gutter/Sidewalks		Existing		constructed by					
		Existing				Other	Other		
Refuse Co Utilities	blection	3	LFUCG Electric T	Gas I	v Water ☑ Pt	one v Cab			
Vertica			EMPLIE III	CHO F	AL ALPHON IX I LE				
								7	
	E YOUR J	JSTIFICA	TION FOR R	EQUES	TED CHANGE (		se attachment.	)	
DESCRIE					TED CHANGE (I	lease provid			
DESCRIE This is in	. In agre	ement with		an XI mo	TED CHANGE (I	lease provid		nanticipated changes.	
DESCRIE This is in APPLICA I do hereb the inform	. In agree INT/OWNER by certify that lation they c	ement with R SIGNS T It to the be ontain is tr	the Comp. Planting CERTIF st of my known	FICATION WIEdge a	TED CHANGE (I re appropriate than	Please provide the existing zo	oning I due to u	nanticipated changes.  Ith submitted, and	
DESCRIE This is in APPLICA I do hereb the inform	In agreement in ag	ement with SIGNS T It to the be contain is to this prop	the Comp. Planting CERTIF st of my known ue and accuracy.	FICATION WIEdge a prate. I fu	TED CHANGE (I re appropriate than N and belief, all app	Please provide the existing zo	oning I due to u	nanticipated changes.  th submitted, and LDER of an	
DESCRIE This is in  APPLICA I do hereb the inform agreemen	In agreement in ag	ement with SIGNS T It to the be contain is to this prop	the Comp. Planting CERTIF st of my known ue and accurate since	FICATION WIEdge a prate. I fu	TED CHANGE (I re appropriate than N and belief, all app	Please provide the existing zo	ning 🔲 due to u	th submitted, and LDER of an	

## ATTORNEYS AT LAW

CHRISTINE N. WESTOVER CWESTOVER@MMLK.COM

201 East Main Street, Suite 1000 Lexington, Kentucky 40507 (859) 231-8780 ext. 137 Fax: (859) 253-0706

November 5, 2012

Mr. Mike Owens, Chairman LFUCG Planning Commission 101 E. Vine Street, Suite 700 Lexington, Kentucky 40507

> RE: Givens Investments, Ltd. 1577 Martha Court Rezoning from R-2 to R-4

Dear Chairman Owens,

I have the honor to represent Ambrose "Buster" Givens, whose company owns the lot at 1577 Martha Court, and his grandson, Bruce Nichol, who seek to rezone a portion of the above referenced property from its existing R-2 category to the R-4 zone. They want to construct a multi-family dwelling the site that will be owned, managed and operated in conjunction with their existing apartment units on Martha Court, Northridge Apartments. Northridge Apartments provides affordable, attractive, safe, clean and sustainable dwelling units and helps fill the large unmet need in Lexington for such housing. The new multifamily unit that will be constructed on the lot when it is rezoned will serve the same purpose.

The justification for the rezoning is that the existing R-2 zoning is inappropriate and the proposed R-4 zoning is appropriate.

Northridge Apartments, a 75-unit R-4 apartment complex which extends from 1577 Martha Court all the way to Eastland Parkway was also developed by the Givens family and continues to be actively managed by them. Like the apartment building being proposed for the R-2 lot, Northridge Apartments offers safe, exceptionally well operated and maintained affordable housing to area residents. As part of rezoning the subject property, the entire complex will be consolidated into a combined development plan.

The subject property is a split-zoned, vacant lot that lies at the end of Martha Court, and is the only R-2 zoned property that fronts on the street. In addition to Northridge Apartments,



another solid row of R-4 apartments on the opposite side of the street extends up to the intersection with Eastland parkway. On the west side of the R-2 lot lies the rear portion of the Yates Elementary School property, which slopes down to a large storm water drainage basin on the school lot. Also on the west side and to the rear of the property are duplexes that front on Hisle Way. Northridge Apartments back up to the rear yards of single family lots on Cantrell Court. A driveway connects Martha Court with the school property, which is barricaded when school is not in session.

At one time the subject property, the school lot and several of the properties on Hisle Way were all under the same ownership. The entire site was originally recommended for future greenway uses, a recommendation that carries forward in the 2007 Comprehensive Plan. However, over the years, the Hisle Way and Martha Court lots were subdivided from the larger school property. The Hisle Way lots were developed as duplexes, but the subject property has remained undeveloped.

The existing R-2 zoning is inappropriate. About two thirds of the lot is zoned R-2 and the remainder closest to Northridge apartments is zoned R-4. This property is the only undeveloped lot on Martha Court and lies at the end of a street that has been uniformly developed as R-4 zoned apartment buildings. The lot is not suitable for R-2 development, as it lies wedged between the R-4 zoned apartments on one side and across from it, and the rear portion of the school lot next to a large storm water drainage basin. It is highly unlikely that the portion of the R-2 zoned school lot will ever be sold for development of any type because of the location of the stormwater improvements. Developing the subject property for R-2 uses is out of character with the other uses adjoining it and fails to make appropriate use of the site in light of its location, potential, and the fact that it is already partially zoned for R-4 uses.

The proposed R-4 zoning is appropriate because it will allow the lot to be incorporated with the existing R-4 zoned Northridge Apartments which immediately adjoin it, and will be subject to a unified development plan. Moreover, there is an overwhelming need for more safe, clean, sustainable and affordable housing in Lexington. According to the 2009 LFUCG Housing Market Study, Lexington's share of affordable housing is declining despite the fact that there are an increasing number of people who need such housing. The Housing Market Study's findings and conclusions reinforce the 2007 Comprehensive Plan's recommendation for more affordable housing in Lexington. As noted on pp. 179-184 of the Comprehensive Plan, the lack of adequate affordable housing affects transportation, schools, employment and other aspects of the community. Rezoning the property to R-4 will allow more affordable housing units to be built, thus helping to address this significant unmet need in Lexington. The R-4 zoning is appropriate at this location because it is close to mass transit, adjoins a public elementary school and is close to major commercial corridors on New Circle road and Winchester Road. This will help reduce residents' need to rely on automobiles to go to work, grocery stores, schools and all other necessities of day to day living. This will help reduce residents' expenses, including transportation costs, and help them have more dependable access to work, shopping areas and schools.



The proposed R-4 zoning is more appropriate than the 2007 Comprehensive Plan land use designation for future greenway uses for the subject property. At one time the lot was part of the larger parcel owned by the Fayette County Board of Education. Since that time, the parent tract was subdivided developed as duplexes on Hisle Court. It is not reasonable or appropriate to maintain the greenway designation for the subject property. The Comprehensive Plan is in error to the extent the land use designation fails to take into consideration that the lot is no longer part of the school tract or that nearby properties on Hisle Way that were also designated for greenway uses have already developed for residential purposes.

In addition to rezoning the property from R-2 to R-4 we also request a variance to reduce the minimum exterior yard required for group residential projects from twenty (20') feet to five (5') feet along the west side of the property. The variance will allow the building to be placed on the site at the best location to avoid an existing overhead electric line that bisects the property. Granting the variance will not be an unreasonable circumvention of the Zoning Ordinance because there are no residential lots on the side of the property for which the variance is being requested. This area is at the rear of the larger school property that is unlikely to ever be developed due to its topography and the presence of a significant storm water drainage feature just to the west of the property line. The unique location of this lot in relation to the surrounding uses, the sloping topography, and the presence of the overhead utility line are all unique circumstances that do not apply to the area or to the zone generally. The property owner did not create the need for the variance. The location of the electric line was determined by the utility company, not the owner. It would be an unreasonable hardship to develop this property for R-4 uses as proposed herein unless the variance is granted because it would prevent construction of sufficient dwelling units to make the project reasonably viable.

In conclusion for all the reasons stated above we respectfully request that the rezoning and variance requests be approved as submitted. We will attend the Planning Commission's Committee meetings on December 6<sup>th</sup> to answer questions and present additional information, and also at the full Commission hearing on December 13, 2012. Please contact me if you have questions or require more information. We look forward to working with the Commission and Planning staff to bring this very worthy and needed project to fruition. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Christine N. Westover

